

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Jirginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,492	03/03/2000	Ram Kudukoli	5150-37301	7614
759	90 09/16/2005	•	EXAMINER	
Jeffrey C. Hood		VU, KIEU D		
Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398		•	2173	
		·	DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/518,492	KUDUKOLI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kieu D. Vu	2173	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kieu D. Vu	2173					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	l  ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable it submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>285-381.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>242-254,263 and</u>	<u>1 283</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	ma la				
			.505				
•							

Continuation of 7. The proposed amendment of claim 355 does not raise new issue and does not necessite a new ground of the rejection. The amended claim 355 would be rejected under the same ground applied in the Final Rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Sodoojo teaches steps for automatically creating graphical program (col 13, lines 24-32). Sodoojo further teaches "A server is software application which makes available its classes to be accessed from another application, referred to as a client" (col 4, lines 43-53). This cited portion means that client application (client program) accesses classes (performs API calls to) of server application (server program). Therefore, the cited portion can be reasonably interpreted as "a client program performing API calls to create a graphical program as claimed. Yoshida teaches steps for automatically creating new program (macro) from a program (macro-creating program) without user input (col 6, lines 39-50) (col 8, lines 33-35 and lines 51-60) (also see col 11, lines 28-33). Therefore, the combination of Sodoojo and Yoshida teaches claims 370-380 as presented in the Final Office Action.

McDonald teaches steps automatically generating graphical program code (col 3, lines 61-63). The combination of McDonald, Sodoojo, and Yoshida teaches claims 285-369 and 381 as presented in the Final Office Action.